

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandris, Virginis 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/778,071 02/07/2001 Takashi Takeuchi 202937US2S 7369 22850 06/18/2003 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. **EXAMINER** 1940 DUKE STREET RAMANA, ANURADHA ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3732

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
Office Action Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	-
	09/778,071	TAKEUCHI ET AL	''
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anu Ramana	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bed	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	March 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) Objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/778,071

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 8-9 and 11, drawn to an ultrasonic element/probe, classified in class 600, subclass 459.
- II. Claims 4-6, 7 and 10, drawn to method of manufacturing an ultrasonic probe, classified in class 310, subclass 311.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making (method of manufacturing) and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method of manufacturing can be used to make an ultrasonic probe with only one resin layer on a surface of a single-crystal piezoelectric member.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/778,071 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Further, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions.

Species I: Figures 2-3

Species II: Figure 4

Species III: Figure 5

Species IV: Figures 6 and 7

Species V: Figure 10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are held to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 am and 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,071

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

AR June 13, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700